

**REMARKS**

Applicant thanks the Examiner for the interview on November 14, 2006. According to the Interview Summary, amended claim 1 (i.e. proposed amended claim 1 during the interview) overcomes the prior art of record. Here, Applicant represents the remaining claims for the Examiner to review. The limitation of claim 5 is incorporated into claim 1, and claim 5 is thus canceled. Claims 2-4 and 6-14 are original. These claims should be patentable because they depend from amended claim 1.

Applicant adds new claim 15. Claim 15 limits the method consisting essentially of orienting the multilayer film in the machine direction and exclude simultaneously orienting the film in both the machine direction and transverse direction. This claim should be patentable over the prior art of record because it is narrower in scope than amended claim 1.

Therefore, Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw the rejections and allow remaining claims 1-4 and 6-15. Applicant invites the Examiner to telephone his attorney, Shao-Hua Guo, at (610) 359-2455 if a discussion of the application might be helpful.

Respectfully submitted,  
D. Ryan Breese

By:   
Shao-Hua Guo  
Attorney for Applicant  
Reg. No. 44,728  
Lyondell Chemical Company  
Phone: (610) 359-2455  
November 21, 2006

Customer Number: 24114